

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE 10/26/2000		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 7722	
09/699,002			John W. Gibson	S0351/249009		
23370	7590	03/13/2002				
	RATT, ESQ		EXAMINER			
1100 PEACE	K STOCKTO ITREE STRE	•	BADIO, BARBARA P			
SUITE 2800 ATLANTA, GA 30309				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1616		

DATE MAILED: 03/13/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			. ,							
	· •	Application No.		Applicant(s)						
,	Office Action Comments	09/699,002		GIBSON ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Barbara P Badio	•	1616						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how by within the statutory mineral will apply and will expire to, cause the application t	ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from o become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comi O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·								
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-f	nal.							
3)□ Disposit	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. sposition of Claims									
4)	Claim(s) 88 and 89 is/are pending in the appli	ication.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 89 is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)	6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>88</u> is/are rejected.									
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicat	ion Papers									
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.										
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.										
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.										
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.								
Priority t	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:										
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti		•		pplication).					
a) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	visional applicati	on has been rec	eived.	,,					
Attachmen		processing arriance of								
1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) [5) [6) [(PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-1						
U.S. Patent and T PTO-326 (Re		ction Summary		Part of P	aper No. 5					

Application/Control Number: 09/699,002

Art Unit: 1616

First Office Action on the Merits

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group III in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that it is improper for the examiner to withdraw a portion of the claim from consideration. This is not found persuasive because according to MPEP § 803.02 restriction of a Markush-type claim is improper if "the members of the Markush group are sufficiently few in number or so closely related that a search and examination of the entire claim can be made without serious burden". In the present application, the Markush claim contains seven vastly different structures having various R groups consisting of a number of different groups. Therefore, the members of the instant Markush claim are not few in number nor are they closely related. Thus, a search of the entire claim would post a serious burden on the examiner. Applicant cites In re Weber and In re Haas in support of his argument. However, the cases cited by applicant states "it is improper for the Office to refuse to examine that which applicants regard as their invention, unless the subject matter in a claim lacks unity of invention". The subject matter of the instant invention lacks unity of invention and, thus, restriction is proper.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made **FINAL**.

'Application/Control Number: 09/699,002

Art Unit: 1616

2. Based on applicant's election of Group III, claim 88 will be examined to the extent it reads on compounds of formula IV. Claim 89 stands withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement filed October 26, 2000 is noted and will be considered once the references become available from the parent case.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claim 88 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Noda et al. ('405).

Noda et al. teach D-fructopyranose β-1,3,4,5-tetraacetate (col. 6, line 28). The compound taught by the reference is encompassed by the instant claim.

6. Claim 88 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Swiderski et al.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/699,002

Art Unit: 1616

Swiderski et al. teach D-fructopyranose β-pentaacetate, RN 20764-61-8 (see the attached Abstract). The compound taught by the reference is encompassed by the

instant claim.

Telephone Inquiry

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Barbara P Badio, Ph.D. whose telephone number is

703-308-4595. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 7:30am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jose Dees can be reached on 703-308-4628. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for

regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1235.

Barbara P Badio, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1616

BB

March 12, 2002